

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
                                  )  
                                  Plaintiff, )                          8:07CR242  
                                  )  
                                  )  
                                  v. )                          )  
                                  )  
JANICE GRANT, )                          MEMORANDUM AND ORDER  
                                  )  
                                  )  
                                  Defendant. )  
                                  )  
                                  )  
\_\_\_\_\_

This matter is before the court on the defendant's motion to vacate under [28 U.S.C. § 2255](#). Filing No. 135. Under Rule 4 of the *Rules Governing Section 2255 Proceedings for the United States District Courts*, the court must perform an initial review of the defendant's § 2255 motion. See *Rules Governing Section 2255 Proceedings for the United States District Courts*, Rule 4(b) (2011 Ed.). The rules provide that unless "it plainly appears from the motion, any attached exhibits, and the record of prior proceedings that the moving party is not entitled to relief," the court must order the United States Attorney to respond to the motion. *Id.*

The jury at trial found the defendant guilty of second degree murder in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1111, 113, and 1153. Filing No. 88. The defendant was sentenced to 180 months of imprisonment. Filing No. 108. His sentence was affirmed on appeal. Filing No. 127. In addition, the defendant's petition for writ of certiorari to the Supreme Court of the United States was denied. Filing No. 133. In his § 2255 motion, the defendant alleges that he received ineffective assistance of counsel as counsel failed to raise on direct appeal sufficiency of the evidence, present a witness at trial, and failed to raise the issue of

prosecutorial misconduct by allowing contradictory testimonial evidence to stand as fact. Filing No. 135.

On initial review, the court finds that it does not plainly appear that the defendant is entitled to no relief, and that the government should be required to answer. On receipt of the government's answer, the court will determine (a) whether to order the parties to submit briefs on the merits, so that the defendant claims may be resolved on the basis of the record and briefs, or (b) whether an evidentiary hearing is required. *See Rules Governing Section 2255 Proceedings for the United States District Courts*, Rule 8(a) (2011 Ed.).

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED:

1. On initial review, the court finds that summary dismissal is not appropriate.
2. The United States shall file an answer to the defendant's § 2255 motion within 21 days of the date of this order.

DATED this 20<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Joseph F. Bataillon  
Chief United States District Judge

---

\*This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the court.